Supporting Information

Smelling Sulfur: Copper and Silver Regulate the Response of Human Odorant Receptor OR2T11 to Low Molecular Weight Thiols

Shengju Li^{a,‡}, Lucky Ahmed^{b,‡}, Ruina Zhang^{a,‡}, Yi Pan^a, Hiroaki Matsunami^c, Jessica L. Burger^{d,*}, Eric Block^{e,*}, Victor S. Batista^{b,*}, and Hanyi Zhuang^{a,f,*}

^aDepartment of Pathophysiology, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China; ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520; ^cDepartment of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Department of Neurobiology, Duke Institute for Brain Sciences, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710; ^dApplied Chemicals and Materials Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO 80305; ^cDepartment of Chemistry, University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, NY 12222; ^fInstitute of Health Sciences, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine/Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, China

Table of Contents

| | | 1 |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Experimenta | 1 Section | S3 |
| Figure S1 | Screening for metal effect in known OR-ligand pairs | S7 |
| Figure S2 | Human OR2W1 and OR2C1 respond to selected monothiols | S10 |
| Figure S3 | OR2T11 responds to selected thiol compounds | S11 |
| Figure S4 | Human OR2T11 responds to selected monothiols and α -mercaptothioethers | S13 |
| Figure S5 | Human OR2T11 responds to α -mercaptothioethers and sodium hydrosulfide | S14 |
| Figure S6 | The copper effect of OR2T11 and MOR244-3 with CuCl | S15 |
| Figure S7 | Both OR2T11 and MOR244-3 respond to thietane | S16 |
| Figure S8 | Screening for MOR244-2 ligands | S17 |
| Figure S9 | Binding sites of OR2T11 | S18 |
| Figure S10 | OR2T11 control mutants | S19 |
| Figure S11 | QM/MM optimized models of (A) EtSH, (B) <i>n</i> -PrSH, (C) <i>i</i> -PrSH, (D) 2-propenethiol, (E) (methylthio)methanethiol, and (F) methanethiol | S20 |
| Figure S12 | QM/MM optimized models of (A) <i>i</i> -PrSH and (B) <i>t</i> -BuSH bound to copper ion in Site 2 of OR2T11 | S21 |
| Figure S13 | Multiple sequence alignment of the human M2 muscarinic receptor, human olfactory receptor OR2T11, mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-3 and mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-2 | S22 |
| Figure S14 | 14 TMHMM posterior probabilities for OR2T11 | |
| Figure S15 | Multi sequence Stamp alignment of the seven TM regions of the homology model of OR2T11, MOR244-3, MOR244-2 with the human M2 muscarinic receptor | S24 |
| Figure S16 | Predicted metal binding sites in OR2T11, including site 1 (Met115, C238 and H241) and site 2 (M56, M133, Arg135 and C138) | S25 |
| Figure S17 | The response of MOR244-2 mutants (C112A, C112M and C112V) to copper | S26 |
| Table S1 | A list of sulfur-containing compounds used on OR2T11 and MOR244-3 | S27 |
| Table S2 | EC ₅₀ deduced from the dosage response curves for OR2T11 and MOR244-3 in the luciferase assay | S29 |
| Table S3 | A list of compounds in the mixture panel screened on MOR244-2 in Figure S7 | S30 |
| Table S4 | QM/MM calculated binding energy for different thiols and hydrogen sulfide | S35 |
| Table S5 | A list of primers used for OR2T11 and MOR244-2 mutagenesis | S36 |
| References | | S38 |

Experimental Section

Luciferase and GloSensorTM cAMP Assays

HEK293T-derived Hana3A cell line was grown in Minimum Essential Medium (Hyclone) containing 10% fetal bovine serum at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. For the luciferase assay, after 18 to 24 h, OR or mutant receptor, the accessory factor, mRTP1S, and constructs for firefly luciferase and *Renilla* luciferase expression were transfected into cells for luciferase assay. For the GloSensor[™] cAMP assay, OR, mRTP1S, and a GloSensor[™] plasmid were transfected into cells. Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) was used for transfection. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were stimulated with odorants and/or various metals ions dissolved in CD293 (Invitrogen) for the luciferase assay or HBSS for the GloSensor[™] cAMP assay.

Cloning and Mutagenesis

Our human OR library used for screenings includes 330 unique ORs that represent more than 80% of the total human OR genes. The ORs, along with an N-terminal rhodopsin tag, were cloned into the pCI mammalian expression vector between the NheI and NotI restriction enzyme sites. Using the wild type OR2T11 and MOR244-2 constructs as templates, site-directed mutagenesis of these receptors was carried out using overlap-extension PCR. The primers used for mutagenesis are listed in Table S5. The identities of all OR and mutant constructs were confirmed by sequencing.

Details on the Homology Model Approach

We built the homology model of OR2T11 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NP 001001964.1) and MOR244-2 (GenBank: AAL60957.1) using the X-ray structure of the human M2 muscarinic receptor as a template. The comparative protein modeling with available X-ray structures indicates a high sequence identity between the OR2T11 and M2 receptor transmembrane (TM) regions (with an expected E value of about $1.3*10^{-37}$ for the homology model based on the M2 receptor, which is very satisfactory since a structure is not suitable for homology modeling when the E-value is higher than 1). Analogously, we found a comparably high sequence identity for MOR244-2. The homology model of MOR244-3 is also been based on the X-ray structure of the human M2 muscarinic receptor.¹ Figure S13 shows the sequence alignment of the human M2 muscarinic receptor,² mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-3,¹ mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-2, and human olfactory receptor OR2T11 as obtained by using the Multiple Sequence Viewer implemented in Maestro.³ The TM domains were obtained by using the transmembrane hidden Markov Model (TMHMM) analysis, as applied to model MOR244-3,¹ using the TMHMM server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/) based on Bayesian analysis of a pool of transmembrane proteins with resolved structures. As shown in Figure S14, OR2T11 residues with a posterior TM probability greater than 0.1 were assigned to the transmembrane domain. Figure S15 shows the superposition of structures corresponding to the multi sequence alignment of TM regions of OR2T11 (blue, Figure S15A) with the human M2 muscarinic receptor (reddish pink) and the mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-3 (3UON.pdb). Figure S15B shows the aligned structure of OR2T11 (blue) superimposed to the structure of the mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-3 (red). Similarly, Figures S15C and S15D) show the superposition of homology models for the MOR244-2 (green), the human M2 muscarinic receptor (reddish

pink) and MOR244-3 (red).

Details on the Preparation of the Protein and QM/MM Setup

The initial coordinates of the OR2T11 and MOR244-2 structures were obtained from the homology models of OR2T11 and MOR244-2, as described above. Then the proteins were processed to assign the bond orders, add hydrogen atoms and to create disulfide bonds, using the preparation wizard in Maestro. The protonation states of all titratable residues at physiological pH = 7 are assigned according to PROPKA calculations,⁴ as implemented in the Schrödinger's Maestro 10.2.010 software package,³ and visualized for inspection. In the final stage of protein preparation, we relaxed the protein structure using the OPLS2005 force field as implemented in Maestro to avoid internal residues clashes.⁵ The organosulfur ligands were preoptimized by energy minimization at the DFT-M06L/6-31G(d) level of theory.⁶⁻⁸ The optimized thiols were considered as the initial geometry and inserted into the binding site of the receptor for DFT-OM/MM calculations, including explicit van der Waals, steric and electrostatic interactions with the protein environment. To minimize structural changes, the full structure was relaxed via a three-step optimization procedure. In the first stage, the structure was fully optimized at the pure AMBER96 force field level⁹ followed by reoptimization at the two-layer ONIOM scheme with electronic-embedding (EE),¹⁰ as implemented in Gaussian09.¹¹ The QM layer included the metal ion (e.g., Cu(I), Ag(I)) and surrounding amino acid residues as well as the thiol ligands (if any), treated at the M06-L^{6,7} level of theory, using the Stuttgart [8s7p6d2f | 6s5p3d2f] ECP10MWB contracted pseudopotential basis set¹² on Cu, SDD basis set¹² on Ag and the 6-31G(d) basis set⁸ on all other atoms. The MM layer included the rest of the protein, as described by the AMBER96 molecular mechanics force field.⁹ The interface between QM and MM layers was treated using the standard hydrogen-link atom scheme,¹³ as previously implemented for studies of a variety of other visual and non-visual GPCRs.^{1,14}

Details on the Determination of the Metal Binding Site

To determine the possible metal binding site(s) in the protein, we looked for clusters of amino acid residues, such as His, Cys, Met and Arg known to coordinate metals, such as copper in other proteins.^{1,15-17} We found two possible binding sites in OR2T11, including site 1 with the proximal Met115, C238 and H241 residues. Arg119 is also close to site 1. A second binding site includes M56, M133, Arg135 and C138 (Figure S16). We also explored several other possible binding sites where clusters of His/Cys/Met amino-acid residues are available and could coordinate to a metal center, including C186/H263, C176/H173/C94, and H223/C124. However, we found that the amino acid residues were not sufficiently close as to coordinate to a common metal ion. For example, C186 and H263 are about 7.0 Å apart from each other. Similarly, the H223/C124 pair is also separated by about 10.0 Å. The C176/H173/C94 cluster could in principle be a binding site but the C176-C94 pair is compromised in a disulfide bond essential for protein structure and stability. Such a disulfide bond links the EII loop and the TM3 regions and is highly conserved in other ORs, such as MOR244-3¹ and MOR256-17.¹⁸ Therefore, site 1 (Met115, C238 and H241) and site 2 (M56, M133, Arg135 and C138) seem to be the possible metal binding sites in OR2T11. The mutagenesis analysis is also consistent with this binding site prediction.

The sequence alignment of MOR244-3 and MOR244-2 was particularly useful to investigate possible metal binding sites in MOR244-2. We found that Cu(I) binds to M104 and H108. C112 is close to this binding site but does not seem to be crucial for copper binding (Figure S17), as shown by the analysis of the mutants of C112A and C112M. Other potential metal binding sites included pairs of His/Cys amino acid residues, including C243/H246, C75/H76 and C100/C182 that are close enough (within 4.0 Å of each other) to coordinate to a common metal ion. However, the C243/H246 pair was found improbable to be the active site since it is conserved in MOR244-2, as found in MOR244-3 and MOR256-17, and MOR256-17 is insensitive to Cu. The sequence alignment also shows that the C75/H76 pair in MOR244-2 and the C72/H73 pair in MOR244-3 are aligned. In MOR244-3, the C72/H73 pair was ruled out because activity was unchanged by the mutations C72V, H73Y, and H73F.¹⁸ Therefore, we conclude the C75/H76 pair is not the metal binding site. In addition, as mentioned before, we ruled out the C100/C182 pair since it is involved in forming a disulfide bond that is crucial for the protein structure.

Details on the Calculation of Binding Affinities

We have calculated the binding energies to compare the relative binding affinity of thiol ligands to the receptor. The protein complexes (containing both copper and the ligand) were optimized with DFT-QM/MM platform to get the total energy ($E_{Total energy of the complex}$) of the complex. As described in the QM/MM setup section, the QM layer included the Cu ion, responsible amino acid residues and thiol ligands (if any) treated at M06-L level of theory, using the Stuttgart [8s7p6d2f | 6s5p3d2f] ECP10MWB contracted pseudopotential basis set on Cu, and the 6-31G(d) basis set on all other atoms. The MM layer included the rest of the protein as described by the AMBER96 molecular mechanics force field. The QM/MM optimization was also carried out for the protein containing only copper (but not the ligand) to compute the energy ($E_{Receptor}$) of the receptor. We found the $E_{Receptor}$ value for the receptor is -2042.296026 a.u., which is constant for all binding energy calculations. The optimized structure of the ligands obtained in the QM/MM calculation was used to compute the single point energy ($E_{Odorant}$) of the odorants/ligands, using DFT calculations on free ligands (Table S4). The relative binding energy was calculated, according to the following equation:

Binding Energy =
$$E_{Total energy of the complex} - [E_{Receptor} + E_{Odorant}]$$

For example, the binding energy of *t*-BuSH to the binding site is calculated, as follows: Binding Energy (*t*-BuSH)

= -2598.36350582478 - [(-2042.296026) + (-556.009804)] A.U.

= -2598.36350582478 +2598.30583 A.U.

= -36.2 kcal/mol

Saturation-Transfer Difference NMR spectroscopy

Transfected and non-transfected Hana3A cells were grown to confluency in T-75 flasks (approximately 10^7 cells) and harvested by trypsinization and centrifugation at 200 g for 5 min.

The cell pellet was resuspended in 400 μ L HBSS. All preparations were done at room temperature. The suspension was then added to NMR tubes containing 100 μ L D₂O and odorant, for a final concentration of approximately 4 mmol/L odorant (actual concentrations were determined by the NMR ERETIC method)¹⁹. This preparation provided a cell concentration of approximately 2 x 10⁷ cells per mL. Typical of GPCR-expressing cell lines is a receptor density of about 10⁶ per cell. For a transfection efficiency of 40%, the receptor concentration is 8 x 10¹² receptors per mL (~13 nmol/L). In order to explore the metal response on odorant binding, 400 μ mol/L CuCl₂ or AgNO₃ was added to the solutions as indicated.

STD NMR spectra were obtained at 30 °C unless otherwise noted, with a sweep width of 16 ppm, and acquisition time of 3 s on a 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm inverse double-resonance cryoprobe. Selective protein saturation was achieved by a set of Gaussian pulses of 50 ms length with 9.5 W power level. Forty selective pulses were applied, leading to a saturation time of 2 s. On-resonance irradiation of the protein was performed at -1.0 ppm, and the off-resonance irradiation was set at -20 ppm, where neither protein nor ligand signals were present. The number of scans was 512, preceded by 8 dummy scans. Spectra were subtracted internally by phase cycling after every scan using different memory buffers for on- and off-resonance data.

Four sources of uncertainty were considered for the peak integrals in our STD experiments: slight spectral deviations between the on-resonance and off-resonance spectrum that result in slight imperfections in subtraction; repeatability in the concentration of odorant receptor due to variations in transfection rate and cell density; baseline drift; and peak overlap. The STD effect may be evaluated by calculating the amplification factor (A_{STD}). The A_{STD} is obtained by multiplying the relative STD effect of a given signal (the intensity of the signal in the STD spectrum divided by the intensity of the signal in the reference spectrum (I_{STD}/I_0)) with the molar ratio of ligand in excess relative to the protein ([odorant]/[OR]).

$$A_{\text{STD}} = \frac{I_{\text{STD}}}{I_0} \times \frac{[odorant]}{[OR]}$$

In addition to the uncertainty of the peak integrals, our calculation of the amplification factor used the ERETIC calculated concentration for the odorant and it should be noted that the ERETIC reference spectrum and the STD reference spectrum had different resolutions, which will lead to an increase in uncertainty.





Figure S1. Screening for metal effect in known OR-ligand pairs. The responses to (A) carboxylic acids and (B) amines by different ORs with or without 30 μ M CuCl₂, ZnSO₄, or NiCl₂. All odorants were used at 10 μ M and 100 μ M, except for the positive control ligand MTMT, which was used at 1 μ M and 10 μ M. All responses are normalized to MOR244-3's response to MTMT at 10 μ M (N = 3). The empty vector pCI was transfected to control for background responses to each odorant. Acid 1, butyric acid. Acid 2, isobutyric acid. Acid 3, bromobutanoic acid. Acid 4, hexanoic acid. Acid 5, heptanoic acid. Acid 6, octanoic acid. Acid 7, nonanoic acid. Acid 8, decanoic acid. Acid 9, undecanoic acid. Acid 10, vanillic acid. Acid 11, *trans*-cinnamic acid. Acid 12, octanedioic acid. Acid 13, nonanedioic acid. Acid 14, 5-oxononanedioic acid. Acid 15, decanedioic acid. Acid 16, undecanedioic acid. Acid 17,

dodecanedioic acid. Amine 1, butylamine. Amine 2, isobutylamine. Amine 3, 3-(methylthio)-propylamine. Amine 4, *N*-methylpiperidine. Amine 5, hexylamine. Amine 6, cyclohexylamine. Amine 7, benzylamine.



Figure S2. Human OR2W1 and OR2C1 respond to selected monothiols. Dose-response curves of (A) OR2W1 and (B) OR2C1 to various monothiols in the luciferase assay. The *y*-axis indicates normalized response \pm SEM (N = 3). All responses are normalized to the highest response of each receptor (N = 3).



Figure S3. OR2T11 responds to selected thiol compounds in the GloSensor™ cAMP assay.

Real-time measurement of OR2T11 activation in response to (A) monothiols and sodium hydrosulfide (at pH 6.14), and (B) dithiols and α -mercaptothioethers as detected within 30 minutes of odorant addition. Metals used in the assay were CuCl₂ and AgNO₃, except for methanethiol and sodium hydrosulfide, where colloidal silver was used. The arrow along the *x*-axis indicates the time point of odorant addition. *y*-axis indicates normalized luminescence±SEM (N = 3). All responses are normalized to the highest response of OR2T11 to TBM.



Figure S4. Human OR2T11 responds to selected monothiols and α -mercaptothioethers. A schematic diagram of all relevant sulfur-containing compounds screened on OR2T11. Odors boxed with solid lines showed prominent responses in the presence of 30 μ M Cu²⁺ and odors boxed with dashed lines showed less prominent responses, as defined by a more than 70% reduction in efficacy compared with TBM in the GloSensorTM cAMP assay in Figure S3. "1C" through "6C" refer to the number of the carbon atoms in the original straight-chain monothiol compounds. Straight-chain monothiols with 10 > C > 5 were tested and deemed inactive.



Figure S5. Human OR2T11 responds to α -mercaptothioethers and sodium hydrosulfide in the luciferase assay. Dose-response curves of OR2T11 to 2,3,5-trithiahexane, 1-(methylthio)ethanethiol, bis(methylthiomethyl) disulfide, thiolane-2-thiol, (ethylthio)methanethiol, and sodium hydrosulfide (at pH 6.2). The *y*-axis indicates normalized response±SEM (N = 3). All responses are normalized to the highest response to TBM.



Figure S6. The copper effect of OR2T11 and MOR244-3 with CuCl in the luciferase assay. Dose-response curves of OR2T11 to TBM and MOR244-3 to MTMT. The *y*-axis indicates normalized response \pm SEM (N = 3). Both responses are normalized to the highest response of the same receptor-ligand pair with 30 μ M CuCl₂ added.



Figure S7. Both OR2T11 and MOR244-3 respond to thietane in the GloSensorTM cAMP assay. Real-time measurement of OR2T11 and MOR244-3 activation in response to the small cyclic sulfide thietane as detected within 30 minutes of odorant addition. The arrow along the *x*-axis indicates the time point of odorant addition. *y*-axis indicates normalized luminescence±SEM (N = 3). All responses are normalized to the highest response of OR2T11 to TBM and MOR244-3 to MTMT, respectively.



Figure S8. Screening for MOR244-2 ligands. The responses of MOR244-2 to 55 thiol ligands and a panel of 30 mixtures (inset). The concentration used for 55 thiols and each component of the 30 mixtures was 30 μ M, except for one thiol, 2-mercaptopyridine *N*-oxide, which exhibited cell toxicity at 30 μ M and was used at 5 μ M instead. All responses were normalized to the response of MOR244-2 to CuCl₂ at 100 μ M (*N* = 3). See SI Table S3 for detailed information on the 30 mixtures.



Figure S9. Binding sites of OR2T11. (A) and (B) show the two binding sites of OR2T11 consisting of M115, C238 and H241, and M56, M133, R135 and C138, respectively. (C) and (D) show the mutagenesis studies on the corresponding amino acid residues in the binding site of OR2T11. The cysteine is in thiolate form.



Figure S10. OR2T11 control mutants. Dose-response curves of OR2T11 control mutants to TBM. The *y*-axis indicates normalized response \pm SEM (*N* = 3). All responses are normalized to the highest response of wild type OR2T11 to TBM with 30 µM of Cu added.



Figure S11. QM/MM optimized models of (A) EtSH, (B) *n*-PrSH, (C) *i*-PrSH, (D) 2-propenethiol, (E) (methylthio)methanethiol, and (F) methanethiol, all bound to the Cu^+ ion in the OR2T11 site consisting of M115, C238 and H241. The cysteine and ligands are in the thiolate form.



Figure S12. QM/MM optimized models of (A) *i***-PrSH and (B)** *t***-BuSH bound to copper ion in Site 2 of OR2T11.** The coordination of the silver ion to the heteroatoms of (C) M56, M133, R135, and C138 in OR2T11 and (D) M104, H108, and water molecule in MOR244-2. (E) The response of silver ion to MOR244-2. The cysteine and ligands are in thiolate form.

| 3UON | ~~~~~MTNTSSSDFTLLGLLVNSEAAGIVFTVILAGSLSLVTIIGNILVMVSIKVNR | 52 |
|--|--|-----|
| OR2T11 | ~~~~~MTNTSSSDFTLLGLLVNSEAAGIVFTVILAVFLGAVTANLVMIFLIQVDS | 50 |
| MOR244-3 | ~~~MGALNQTRVTEFIFLGLTDNWVLEILFFVPFTVTYMLTLLGNFLIVVTIVFTP | 53 |
| MOR244-2 | MEKAVLINETSVMSFRLTGLSTNPLVQMAVFFIFLIFYVLTLVGNILIVITIIYDR | 56 |
| 3UON | HLQTVNNYFLFSLACADLIIGVFSMNLYTLYTVIGYWPLGPVVCDLWLALDYVVSN | 108 |
| OR2T11 | RLHTPMYFLLSQLSIMDTLFICTTVPKLLADMVSKEKIISFVACGIQIFLYLTMIG | 106 |
| MOR244-3 | RLHNPMYFFLSNLSFIDICHSSVTVPKMLEGLLLERKTISFDNCIAQLFFLHLFAC | 109 |
| MOR244-2 | RLHTPMYFFLSNLSFIDVCHSTVTVPKMLSDTFSEEKLISFDACVVQMFFLHLFAC | 112 |
| 3UON | ASVMNLLIISFDRYFCVTKPT~YPVKRTTKMAGMMIAAAWVLS~~FILWAPAILFW | 162 |
| OR2T11 | SEFFLLGLMAYDRYVAVCNPLRYPVLMNRKKCLLLAAGAWFGGSLDGFLLTPITMN | 162 |
| MOR244-3 | SEIFLLTIMAYDRYVAICIPLHYSNVMNMKVCVQLVFALWLGGTIHSLVQTFLTIR | 165 |
| MOR244-2 | TEIFLLTVMAYDRYVAICKPLQYMTIMNWKVCMMLAAALWTGGTIHSISLTSLTIK | 168 |
| 3UON | QFIVGVRTVEDGECYIQFFSNAAVTFGTAIAAFYLP~VIIMTVLYWHISRASKSRI | 217 |
| OR2T11 | VPYCGSRSINHFFCEIPAVLKLACADTSLYETLMYICCVLMLLIPISIISTSYSLI | 218 |
| MOR244-3 | LPYCGPNIIDSYFCDVPPVIKLACTDTYLTGILIVSNSGTISLVCFLALVTSYTVI | 221 |
| MOR244-2 | LPYCGPDEIDNFFCDVPQVIKLACTDTHIIEILIVSNSGLISVVCFVVLVVSYAVI | 224 |
| 3UON | PPSREKKVTRTILAILLAFIITWAPYNVMVLINTFCAPCIPNTVWT~~~~~IGYW | 427 |
| OR2T11 | LLTIHRMPSAEGRKKAFTTCSSHLTVVSIFYGAAFYTYVLPOSFHTPEODKVVSAF | 274 |
| MOR244-3 | LFSLRKK~SAEGRRKALSTCSAHFMVVTLFFGPCIFLYTRPDSSFS~~IDKVVSVF | 274 |
| MOR244-2 | LVSLRQQ~ISDGKRKALSTCAAHLTVVTLFLGHCIFIYSRPSTSLP~~EDKVVSVF | 277 |
| 3UON OR2T11 MOR244-3 MOR244-2 | LCYINSTINPACYALCNATFKKTFKHLLM 456 YTIVTPMLNPLIYSLRNKDVIGAFKKVFACCSSAQKVATSDA 316 YTVVTPLLNPLIYTLRNEEVKTAMKH 300 FTAVTPLLNPIIYTLRNEDMKSALNKLIKRREK 310 | |

Figure S13. Multiple sequence alignment of the human M2 muscarinic receptor, human olfactory receptor OR2T11, mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-3 and mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-2.



Figure S14. TMHMM posterior probabilities for OR2T11. Seven transmembrane regions are clearly indicated as red bar.



Figure S15. Multi sequence Stamp alignment of the seven TM regions of the homology model of OR2T11 (blue) with the human M2 muscarinic receptor (reddish pink) (A), and the mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-3 (red) (B). Superposition of the homology model of the mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-2 (green) with the human M2 muscarinic receptor (reddish pink) (C), and superimposed to the mouse olfactory receptor MOR244-3 (red) (D).



Figure S16. Predicted metal binding sites in OR2T11, including site 1 (M115, C238 and H241) and site 2 (M56, M133, A135 and C138).



Figure S17. The response of MOR244-2 C112 mutants (C112A, C112M and C112V) to copper. The *y*-axis indicates normalized response \pm SEM (N = 3). The responses are normalized to the highest response of the wild type receptor.

Supporting Tables

| IUPAC name | CAS# | structure | Source |
|---------------------------------|------------|--|--------------------|
| sodium sulfide (Na(SH)) | 16721-80-5 | Na ^S H | Adamas |
| sodium thiomethoxide | 5188-07-8 | Na | Sigma-Aldrich |
| ethanethiol | 75-08-1 | ∽ ^s ∖ _H | Adamas |
| propane-1-thiol | 107-03-9 | ∕~ ^S ∖ _H | Adamas |
| propane-2-thiol | 75-33-2 | ך ^S _н | Adamas |
| 2-methylpropane-2-thiol | 75-66-1 | X ^S H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| 2-methylpropane-1-thiol | 513-44-0 | ,s_ _H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| butane-2-thiol | 513-53-1 | ∼, ^S , H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| 3-methylbutane-2-thiol | 2084-18-6 | \succ | Adamas |
| prop-2-ene-1-thiol | 870-23-5 | H ^S | Sigma-Aldrich |
| cyclopentanethiol | 1679-07-8 | ⟨ S _H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| (methylthio)methanethiol | 29414-47-9 | ∕ ^s ∕ ^s ∕ _H | in-house synthesis |
| (ethylthio)methanethiol | 29414-49-1 | $\sim_{s} \sim_{s'}^{H}$ | In-house synthesis |
| 1-(methylthio)ethanethiol | 31331-53-0 | ∽ ^S ↓ ^S ∖H | in-house synthesis |
| thiolane-2-thiol | 61477-08-5 | S S H | in-house synthesis |
| 2,3,5-trithiahexane | 42474-44-2 | ss_ | in-house synthesis |
| bis(methylthiomethyl) disulfide | 85544-38-3 | ∽ ^s ∽ ^s ∽s∽s∕ | in-house synthesis |
| butane-1-thiol | 109-79-5 | ∽s∽ ^H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| pentane-1-thiol | 110-66-7 | ∕∕∕ ^S `H | J&K |
| hexane-1-thiol | 111-31-9 | ∽∽_s∽ ^H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| heptane-1-thiol | 1639-09-4 | ∽∽∽∽ ^s ⊣ | J&K |

Table S1. A list of sulfur-containing compounds used on OR2T11 and MOR244-3.

| octane-1-thiol | 111-88-6 | ~~~s∽ ^H | Sigma-Aldrich |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| nonane-1-thiol | 1455-21-6 | ~~~~ ^S ` _H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| decane-1-thiol | 143-10-2 | S'H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| thietane | 287-27-4 | S | in-house synthesis |
| propane-1,3-dithiol | 109-80-8 | H ^S H | in-house synthesis |
| butane-1,4-dithiol | 1191-08-8 | H_S~~_R | Sigma-Aldrich |
| pentane-1,5-dithiol | 928-98-3 | H_S~~S~H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| hexane-1,6-dithiol | 1191-43-1 | H~S~~~_R | Sigma-Aldrich |
| octane-1,8-dithiol | 1191-62-4 | H_S_SS_H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| 3-methylbutane-1-thiol | 541-31-1 | , ⊢H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| pentane-2-thiol | 2084-19-7 | s↓ ^H | FluoroChem |
| 2-methylbutane-1-thiol | 1878-18-8 | ∕∕∕s∕ ^H | Sigma-Aldrich |
| methanedithiol | 6725-64-0 | H_S~S~H | in-house synthesis |
| ethane-1,2-dithiol | 540-63-6 | H_S~S_H | Sigma-Aldrich |

| odorant | OR2T11 | MOR244-3 |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|
| othenethicl | >100 | >100 |
| ethanethiol | 24.0 | >100 |
| propago 1 thial | >100 | >100 |
| propane-1-mon | 6.1 | >100 |
| propago 2 thiol | >100 | >100 |
| propane-z-mon | 7.4 | >100 |
| 2 methylpropane 2 thiol | >100 | >100 |
| z-methypropane-z-thor | 13.1 | >100 |
| 2 methylpropage 1 thiol | >100 | >100 |
| z-methypropane-r-thor | 9.1 | >100 |
| butane 2 thiol | >100 | >100 |
| butane-2-thio | 8.9 | >100 |
| 3 mothylbutano 2 thiol | >100 | >100 |
| 5-methybutane-2-tinor | 28.2 | >100 |
| prop 2 epe 1 thiol | >100 | >100 |
| prop-z-ene-r-tinor | 1.6 | >100 |
| (methylthic)methanethicl | >100 | 20.0 |
| (methylthio)methanethol | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| 1 (methylthic)ethanethicl | >100 | 27.3 |
| | 1.2 | 2.2 |
| thiolane_2_thiol | >100 | 3.2 |
| uniolane-z-uniol | 2.5 | 0.5 |
| 2 3 5-trithiahevane | >100 | 5.3 |
| 2,3,5-010118162816 | 18.2 | 5.4 |
| his (mothylthiomothyl) dis ulfide | >100 | 1.8 |
| | 2.0 | 0.4 |

Table S2. EC_{50} deduced from the dosage response curves for OR2T11 and MOR244-3 in the luciferase assay with (first row) and without (second row) copper. Values are given in μ M.

| mixture# | IUPAC name | CAS# |
|----------|---|------------|
| | chromen-2-one | 91-64-5 |
| | 1-phenylethanone | 98-86-2 |
| 1 | 2-pentyl-3-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one | 1128-08-1 |
| I | cyclohexanone | 108-94-1 |
| | (1S,4S)-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one | 464-48-2 |
| | (1R,4R)-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one | 464-49-3 |
| | diphenylmethanone | 119-61-9 |
| | 2-methyl-5-prop-1-en-2-ylcyclohexan-1-one | 7764-50-3 |
| 0 | nonan-2-one | 821-55-6 |
| 2 | heptan-3-one | 106-35-4 |
| | heptan-2-one | 110-43-0 |
| | hexane-3,4-dione | 4437-51-8 |
| | 2-methyl-5-prop-1-en-2-ylcyclohexan-1-one | 5524-05-0 |
| | 4-hydroxy-2,3-di(methyl)-2H-furan-5-one | 81-14-1 |
| 2 | (1R,4S)-1,3,3-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one | 7787-20-4 |
| 3 | butan-2-one | 78-93-3 |
| | 1-phenylpropane-1,2-dione | 579-07-7 |
| | (5S)-2-methyl-5-prop-1-en-2-ylcyclohex-2-en-1-one | 2244-16-8 |
| | (1S,4R)-1,3,3-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one | 4695-62-9 |
| 4 | (R)-5-methyl-2-(propan-2-ylidene)cyclohexan-1-one | 89-82-7 |
| 4 | (2S,5R)-5-methyl-2-propan-2-ylcyclohexan-1-one | 14073-97-3 |
| | 7-hydroxychromen-2-one | 93-35-6 |
| | nonanoic acid | 112-05-0 |
| | octanoic acid | 124-07-2 |
| 5 | decanoic acid | 334-48-5 |
| 5 | heptanoic acid | 111-14-8 |
| | 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoic acid | 121-34-6 |
| | propanoic acid | 79-09-4 |
| | heptanedioic acid | 111-16-0 |
| | 6-bromohexanoic acid | 4224-70-8 |
| 6 | (2S)-2-phenylbutanoic acid | 4286-15-1 |
| 0 | (E)-3-phenylprop-2-enoic acid | 140-10-3 |
| | 10-bromodecanoic acid | 50530-12-6 |
| | 5-bromopentanoic acid | 2067-33-6 |
| | butanoic acid | 107-92-6 |
| 7 | 2-sulfanylacetic acid | 68-11-1 |
| | hexanoic acid | 142-62-1 |

Table S3. A list of compounds in the mixture panel screened on MOR244-2 in Figure S7.

| | 2-methylpropanoic acid | 79-31-2 |
|----|---|------------|
| | 3-methylbutanoic acid | 503-74-2 |
| | 4-methylpentanoic acid | 646-07-1 |
| | hexane-1-thiol | 111-31-9 |
| | (methylseleno)methanethiol | N/A |
| 8 | octane-1-thiol | 111-88-6 |
| | 1,1-dioxothiolane-3-thiol | 61477-08-5 |
| | ethylsulfanylmethanethiol | 29414-49-1 |
| | 3-methylbut-2-enyl acetate | 1191-16-8 |
| | ethyl 2-methylpropanoate | 97-62-1 |
| 9 | butyl formate | 592-84-7 |
| | prop-2-enyl 2-phenylacetate | 1797-74-6 |
| | [(2E)-3,7-dimethylocta-2,6-dienyl] acetate | 105-87-3 |
| | butyl acetate | 123-86-4 |
| | 2-(4-methylcyclohex-3-en-1-yl)propan-2-yl acetate | 80-26-2 |
| 10 | (1-butoxy-1-oxopropan-2-yl) butanoate | 7492-70-8 |
| 10 | hexyl butanoate | 2639-63-6 |
| | methyl 2-hydroxybenzoate | 119-36-8 |
| | ethyl 2-oxopropanoate | 617-35-6 |
| | [(2Z)-3,7-dimethylocta-2,6-dienyl] 2-methylpropanoate | 2345-24-6 |
| 11 | octyloctanoate | 2306-88-9 |
| | oxacyclohexadecan-2-one | 106-02-5 |
| | 3-methylbutyl octanoate | 2035-99-6 |
| | 3-phenylpropyl propanoate | 122-74-7 |
| | (2-methoxy-4-prop-2-enylphenyl) acetate | 93-28-7 |
| | decanal | 112-31-2 |
| | octanal | 124-13-0 |
| 10 | 3-(4- <i>tert</i> -butylphenyl)propanal | 18127-01-0 |
| 12 | 3-ethoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde | 121-32-4 |
| | 2-phenylacetaldehyde | 122-78-1 |
| | 4-(4-hydroxy-4-methylpentyl)cyclohex-3-ene-1-carbaldehyde | 31906-04-4 |
| | benzo[a]pyrene | 50-32-8 |
| | pyrene | 129-00-0 |
| 40 | fluoranthene | 206-44-0 |
| 13 | acenaphthylene | 83-32-9 |
| | 9-methylcarbazole | 1484-12-4 |
| | 1-methylindole | 603-76-9 |
| | 4,6,6-trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-4-thiol | 23832-18-0 |
| 14 | 3-methylbutane-1-thiol | 541-31-1 |
| 14 | thiophene-2-thiol | 7774-74-5 |
| | 2-(2-sulfanylethylsulfanyl)ethanethiol | 3570-55-6 |

| | 1-[(1,1-dimethylethyl)thio]methanethiol | 722541-95-9 |
|----|--|--------------|
| | 3-(methylthio)-1-propanethiol | 26718-09-2 |
| | 2,2-dimethyl-3-(methylthio)propane-1-thiol | 1519309-92-2 |
| | pyridin-2-ylmethanethiol | 2044-73-7 |
| 45 | 2-methylpropane-2-thiol | 75-66-1 |
| 15 | decane-1,10-dithiol | 1191-67-9 |
| | 2-naphthalen-1-yloctane-1,8-dithiol | 1191-62-4 |
| | 2-methylbutane-1-thiol | 1878-18-8 |
| | butane-1,4-dithiol | 1191-08-8 |
| | nonane-1,9-dithiol | 3489-28-9 |
| 10 | hexane-1,6-dithiol | 1191-43-1 |
| 16 | 2-methyloctane-2-thiol | 25360-10-5 |
| | propane-1,3-dithiol | 109-80-8 |
| | decane-1-thiol | 143-10-2 |
| | pentane-1,5-dithiol | 928-98-3 |
| | butane-2-thiol | 513-53-1 |
| 47 | pentane-2-thiol | 2084-19-7 |
| 17 | 3-methylbutane-2-thiol | 2084-18-6 |
| | prop-2-ene-1-thiol | 870-23-5 |
| | propane-1,3-dithiol | 109-80-8 |
| | propan-1-ol | 71-23-8 |
| | propan-2-ol | 67-63-0 |
| 18 | 2-methylpropan-2-ol | 75-65-0 |
| 10 | 2-methylpropan-1-ol | 78-83-1 |
| | butan-2-ol | 78-92-2 |
| | 3-methylbutan-2-ol | 598-75-4 |
| | (methyldisulfanyl)methane | 624-92-0 |
| | bis(methylsulfanyl)methane | 1618-26-4 |
| 10 | 3-(prop-2-enyltrisulfanyl)prop-1-ene | 2050-87-5 |
| 15 | methylsulfanyl-(methylsulfanylmethyldisulfanyl)methane | 85544-38-3 |
| | 2-[(pyridin-2-ylmethyldisulfanyl)methyl]pyridine | 2127-04-0 |
| | 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-bis(methylthio)propane | 71870-73-0 |
| | thietane | 287-27-4 |
| | 2-methylsulfanylbutane | 10359-64-5 |
| 20 | 1-methylsulfanyloctane | 3698-95-1 |
| 20 | 1-methylsulfanylpentane | 1741-83-9 |
| | 1-methylsulfanyldecane | 22438-39-7 |
| | 1-methylsulfanylbutane | 628-29-5 |
| | (ethyldisulfanyl)ethane | 110-81-6 |
| 21 | 2-[(furan-2-ylmethyldisulfanyl)methyl]furan | 4437-20-1 |
| | 2-[(methyldisulfanyl)methyl]furan | 57500-00-2 |

| | 3-prop-2-enylsulfanylprop-1-ene | 592-88-1 |
|----|---|------------|
| | phenoxybenzene | 101-84-8 |
| | 1,2-dimethoxy-4-prop-2-enylbenzene | 93-15-2 |
| | (2E)-3,7-dimethylocta-2,6-dienal | 5392-40-5 |
| | 3,7-dimethyloct-6-enal | 106-23-0 |
| 00 | heptanal | 111-71-7 |
| 22 | 2-methylpropanal | 78-84-2 |
| | (E)-dec-2-enal | 3913-71-1 |
| | nonanal | 124-19-6 |
| | undecanal | 112-44-7 |
| 23 | 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde | 121-33-5 |
| | (E)-3-phenylprop-2-enal | 14371-10-9 |
| | (1R,2S,5R)-5-methyl-2-propan-2-ylcyclohexan-1-ol | 2216-51-5 |
| 24 | (1S,2R,5S)-5-methyl-2-propan-2-ylcyclohexan-1-ol | 15356-60-2 |
| | butan-1-ol | 71-36-3 |
| | 1,4-dioxacycloheptadecane-5,17-dione | 105-95-3 |
| | heptyl acetate | 112-06-1 |
| | [(1R,3R,4R)-4,7,7-trimethyl-3-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptanyl] acetate | 125-12-2 |
| 25 | octyl acetate | 112-14-1 |
| | butyl butanoate | 109-21-7 |
| | ethyl 2-hydroxybenzoate | 118-61-6 |
| | propan-2-yl (E)-2-methylbut-2-enoate | 1733-25-1 |
| | 2-methoxy-4-prop-2-enylphenol | 97-53-0 |
| | 2-methoxy-4-[(E)-prop-1-enyl]phenol | 97-54-1 |
| 26 | 2-methoxy-4-methylphenol | 93-51-6 |
| | 2-methoxyphenol | 90-05-1 |
| | benzenethiol | 108-98-5 |
| | benzene | 71-43-2 |
| | naphthalene | 91-20-3 |
| 27 | anthracene | 120-12-7 |
| 21 | phenanthrene | 1985-1-8 |
| | 9 <i>H</i> -fluorene | 86-73-7 |
| | 4,6,6,7,8,8-hexamethyl-1,3,4,7-tetrahydrocyclopenta[g]isochromene | 1222-05-5 |
| | 2-phenylethanamine | 64-04-0 |
| | N,N-dimethylmethanamine | 75-50-3 |
| 28 | cyclohexanamine | 108-91-8 |
| 20 | 3-methylbutan-1-amine | 107-85-7 |
| | 2-methylpropan-1-amine | 78-81-9 |
| | 3-methylsulfanylpropan-1-amine | 4104-45-4 |
| 20 | N,N-dimethylethanamine | 598-56-1 |
| 29 | hexan-1-amine | 111-26-2 |

| | butan-1-amine | 109-73-9 |
|----|-------------------------------------|------------|
| | phenylmethanamine | 100-46-9 |
| | N,N-diethylethanamine | 121-44-8 |
| | 4-N,4-N-dimethylbenzene-1,4-diamine | 1126-71-2 |
| | 4-(2-aminoethyl)phenol | 51-67-2 |
| | 3H-1,3-benzothiazole-2-thione | 149-30-4 |
| 30 | 1 <i>H</i> -pyridine-2-thione | 2637-34-5 |
| | 2-methylsulfanylethanethiol | 22322-43-6 |

Table S4. ONIOM extrapolated energies for QM/MM optimized structures, single point energy of odorants, and calculated binding energy for different thiols and hydrogen sulfide in the gas phase in the binding site of M115, C238 and H241.

| odorant | ONIOM extrapolated energy of the complexes a.u | single point energy of odorants a.u. | binding energy (kcal mol ⁻¹) |
|---|--|--|--|
| methanethiol (MeSH) | -2480.422901 | -438.078609 | -30.3 |
| ethanethiol (EtSH) | -2519.736399 | -477.387503 | -33.2 |
| propane-1-thiol (<i>n</i> -PrSH) | -2559.045017 | -516.694362 | -34.3 |
| propane-2-thiol (<i>i</i> -PrSH) | -2559.048719 | -516.6979299 | -34.4 |
| 3-methylbutane-2-thiol | -2637.630407 | -595.3139794 | -12.8 |
| 2-methylpropane-2-thiol (t-BuSH) | -2598.363506 | -556.009804 | -36.2 |
| 2-methylpropane-1-thiol (<i>i</i> -BuSH) | -2598.33847 | -556.0070369 | -22.2 |
| (methylthio)methanethiol (MTMT) | -2917.900232 | -875.5557832 | -30.4 |
| butane-2-thiol (sec-BuSH) | -2598.342528 | -556.0059838 | -25.4 |
| prop-2-ene-1-thiol (AlISH) | -2557.807195 | -515.4663709 | -28.1 |
| hydrogen sulfide (H ₂ S) | -2441.118773 | -398.7834945 | -24.6 |

Table S5. A list of primers used for OR2T11 and MOR244-2 mutagenesis.

| | OR2T11 |
|---------|--|
| S5A F | 5'-ATGACGAACACAGCTTCCTCTGACTTCACCCTC-3' |
| S5A R | 5'-GAGGGTGAAGTCAGAGGAGCTGTGTTCGTCAT-3' |
| A30G F | 5'-TTTACAGTGATCCTTGGTGTTTTCTTGGGGGGCC-3' |
| A30G R | 5'-GGCCCCCAAGAAAACACCAAGGATCACTGTAAA-3' |
| M56A F | 5'-TCGCCTCCACACCCCCGCGTACTTTCTGCTCAGTC-3' |
| M56A R | 5'-GACTGAGCAGAAAGTACGCGGGGGTGTGGAGGCGA-3' |
| M66A F | 5'-GTCAGCTGTCCATCGCGGACACCCTTTTCATCT-3' |
| M66A R | 5'-AGATGAAAAGGGTGTCCGCGATGGACAGCTGAC-3' |
| V92A F | 5'-AAGATCATTTCCTTTGCGGCCTGTGGCATCCAG-3' |
| V92A R | 5'-CTGGATGCCACAGGCCGCAAAGGAAATGATCTT-3' |
| M115 F | 5'-CTTCCTCCTGGGCCTCGCGGCCTATGACCGCTACG-3' |
| M115 R | 5'-CGTAGCGGTCATAGGCCGCGAGGCCCAGGAGGAAG-3' |
| M133A F | 5'-AGATACCCAGTCCTGGCCAACCGCAAGAAGTGT-3' |
| M133A R | 5'-ACACTTCTTGCGGTTGGCCAGGACTGGGTATCT-3' |
| R135 F | 5'-ACCCAGTCCTGATGAACGCCAAGAAGTGTCTTTTGC-3' |
| R135 R | 5'-GCAAAAGACACTTCTTGGCGTTCATCAGGACTGGGT |
| C138A F | 5'-ATGAACCGCAAGAAGGCTCTTTTGCTGGCTGCT-3' |
| C138A R | 5'-AGCAGCCAGCAAAAGAGCCTTCTTGCGGTTCAT-3' |
| S190A F | 5'-CCTGTGCAGACACGGCCTTGTATGAAACTCTGA-3' |
| S190A R | 5'-TCAGAGTTTCATACAAGGCCGTGTCTGCACAGG-3' |
| T213A F | 5'-TCTCTATCATCTCCGCTTCCTACTCCCTCATC-3' |
| T213A R | 5'-GATGAGGGAGTAGGAAGCGGAGATGATAGAGA-3' |
| T221A F | 5'-CCCTCATCTTGTTAGCCATCCACCGCATGCCCT-3' |
| T221A R | 5'-AGGGCATGCGGTGGATGGCTAACAAGATGAGGG-3' |
| C238S F | 5'-AAAGGCCTTCACCACTAGCTCCTCCCACTTGACTG-3' |
| C238S R | 5'-CAGTCAAGTGGGAGGAGCTAGTGGTGAAGGCCTTT-3' |
| H241R F | 5'-CACCACTTGTTCCTCCCGCTTGACTGTAGTTAGCA-3' |
| H241R R | 5'-TGCTAACTACAGTCAAGCGGGAGGAACAAGTGGTG-3' |
| P265A F | 5'-GTCCTTCCACACCGCCGAGCAGGACAAAGTAG-3' |
| P265A R | 5'-CTACTTTGTCCTGCTCGGCGGTGTGGAAGGAC-3' |
| V270A F | 5'-CGAGCAGGACAAAGCAGTGTCAGCCTTCTATA-3' |
| V270A R | 5'-TATAGAAGGCTGACACTGCTTTGTCCTGCTCG-3' |
| G296A F | 5'-ACAAGGACGTCATAGCGGCATTTAAAAAGGT-3' |
| G296A R | 5'-ACCTTTTTAAATGCCGCTATGACGTCCTTGT-3' |

MOR244-2

| M104A F | 5'-TGCCTGTGTGGTCCAGGCGTTCTTCCTGCACCT-3' |
|---------|---|
| M104A R | 5'- AGGTGCAGGAAGAACGCCTGGACCACACAGGCA-3' |
| M104C F | 5'-TGCCTGTGTGGTCCAGTGCTTCTTCCTGCACCT-3' |
| M104C R | 5'- AGGTGCAGGAAGAAGCACTGGACCACACAGGCA -3' |

| M104E F | 5'-TGCCTGTGTGGTCCAGGAGTTCTTCCTGCACCT-3' |
|---------|---|
| M104E R | 5'- AGGTGCAGGAAGAACTCCTGGACCACACAGGCA-3' |
| M104H F | 5'-TGCCTGTGTGGTCCAGCACTTCTTCCTGCACCT-3' |
| M104H R | 5'-AGGTGCAGGAAGAAGTGCTGGACCACACAGGCA-3' |
| M104V F | 5'-TGCCTGTGTGGTCCAGGTGTTCTTCCTGCACCT-3' |
| M104V R | 5'- AGGTGCAGGAAGAACACCTGGACCACACAGGCA-3' |
| M108A F | 5'-AGATGTTCTTCCTGGCGCTCTTTGCCTGCAC-3' |
| M108A R | 5'- GTGCAGGCAAAGAGCGCCAGGAAGAACATCT-3' |
| M108M F | 5'-CCAGATGTTCTTCCTGATGCTCTTTGCCTGCACAG-3' |
| M108M R | 5'-CTGTGCAGGCAAAGAGCATCAGGAAGAACATCTGG-3' |

References

(1) Sekharan, S.; Ertem, Mehmed Z.; Zhuang, H.; Block, E.; Matsunami, H.; Zhang, R.; Wei, Jennifer N.; Pan, Y.; Batista, Victor S. *Biophys. J.* **2014**, *107*, L5-L8.

(2) Haga, K.; Kruse, A. C.; Asada, H.; Yurugi-Kobayashi, T.; Shiroishi, M.; Zhang, C.; Weis,

W. I.; Okada, T.; Kobilka, B. K.; Haga, T.; Kobayashi, T. Nature 2012, 482, 547-551.

(3) Maestro version 10.2, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY 2015.

(4) Li, H.; Robertson, A. D.; Jensen, J. H. Proteins 2005, 61, 704-721.

(5) Madhavi Sastry, G.; Adzhigirey, M.; Day, T.; Annabhimoju, R.; Sherman, W. J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 2013, 27, 221-234.

(6) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 125, 194101.

(7) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Theor. Chem. Account 2008, 120, 215-241.

(8) Wiberg, K. B. J. Comput. Chem. 1986, 7, 379.

(9) Cornell, W. D.; Cieplak, P.; Bayly, C. I.; Gould, I. R.; Merz, K. M.; Ferguson, D. M.;

Spellmeyer, D. C.; Fox, T.; Caldwell, J. W.; Kollman, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5179-5197.

(10) Vreven, T.; Morokuma, K.; Farkas, Ö.; Schlegel, H. B.; Frisch, M. J. J. Comput. Chem. **2003**, *24*, 760-769.

(11) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara,

M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.;

Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery Jr., J. A.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M. J.; Heyd,

J.; Brothers, E. N.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari,

K.; Rendell, A. P.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.;

Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.;

Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J.; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2009.

(12) Andrae, D.; Häußermann, U.; Dolg, M.; Stoll, H.; Preuß, H. *Theoret. Chim. Acta* **1990**, *77*, 123-141.

(13) Bakowies, D.; Thiel, W. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 10580-10594.

(14) Sekharan, S.; Mooney, V. L.; Rivalta, I.; Kazmi, M. A.; Neitz, M.; Neitz, J.; Sakmar, T. P.; Yan, E. C. Y.; Batista, V. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 19064-19067.

(15) Wang, J.; Luthey-Schulten, Z. A.; Suslick, K. S. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2003, 100, 3035-3039.

(16) Wu, Z.; Fernandez-Lima, F. A.; Russell, D. H. J. Am. Soc. Mass. Spect. 2010, 21, 522-533.

(17) Rubino, J. T.; Riggs-Gelasco, P.; Franz, K. J. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 15, 1033-1049.

(18) Duan, X.; Block, E.; Li, Z.; Connelly, T.; Zhang, J.; Huang, Z.; Su, X.; Pan, Y.; Wu, L.;

Chi, Q.; Thomas, S.; Zhang, S.; Ma, M.; Matsunami, H.; Chen, G.-Q.; Zhuang, H. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2012**, *109*, 3492-3497.

(19) Akoka, S.; Barantin, L.; Trierweiler, M. Anal. Chem. 1999, 71, 2554-2557.